

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/733,926	12/11/2003	Melvin Yamamoto	3569.1	8591
22886 7:	590 03/23/2006		EXAMINER	
AFFYMETRI	•	LDERT	CROSS, LATOYA I	
ATTN: CHIEF IP COUNSEL, LEGAL DEPT. 3420 CENTRAL EXPRESSWAY		L DEFI.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SANTA CLAR	A, CA 95051		1743	

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/733,926	YAMAMOTO ET AL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	LaToya C. Younger	1743			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 De	ecember 2003.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·				
6) Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	a baya baaa saasiyad				
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 					
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/8/05</u> .	6) Other:	. ,			

Application/Control Number: 10/733,926 Page 2

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The specification at page 20, lines 10-20 appears to missing information at the end of the sentence which begins, "The microarrays are then scanned". Applicants should check the specification thoroughly for misspelled words and other inadvertent errors.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claimed invention is drawn to a method of packaging a microarray, wherein a microarray is suspended in the well of a well plate. The specification provides no guidance as to how one of ordinary skill in the art would go about "suspending" the microarray in a well plate. The specification provides that the suspension may take place with or without the presence of buffer. However, the specification does not relay how the microarray is suspended in the well.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/733,926 Page 3

Art Unit: 1743

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-3, 5-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 2000/49415 to Cassells.

Cassells teaches a chemical and biological assay method. The method involves suspending microspheres (microarrays) in a buffer solution (Dubelcco's PBS), as recited in claim 3. The microspheres are The microarray suspension is deposited into a clear-bottom microtitre plate, as recited in claims 1 and 5. Regarding the scanning method of claim 7, Cassells teaches that the bead suspension are scanned from below using a laser that emits an illuminating light beam which passes by a series of mirrors and a beam expander. See figure 1 and page 29, lines 22-36. Figure 2 and page 12, lines 9-15 of the reference teaches that the scanning takes place sequentially over the sample so that the total sample surface area is covered, preferably in an overlapping manner. Thus, whether the microarray surface is up or down, the scanning takes place as recited in claims 9 and 10.

Therefore, for the reasons set forth above, Applicant's claimed invention is deemed to be anticipated, within the meaning of 35 USC 102(b) in view of the teachings of Cassells.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/733,926

Art Unit: 1743

Page 4

- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cassells in view of US patent 5,137,613 to Brumley, Jr. et al.

The disclosure of Cassells is described above. Although the reference does teach a clear-bottom microtitre plate, the Cassells reference fails to disclose the microtitre plate being made of fused silica.

Brumley, Jr. et al teach a gel electrophoresis plate made of multiple glass walls. The reference teaches that glasses having a low fluorescence characteristic are preferred when the sample in the plate must be read optically. Brumley, Jr. et al teach that fused silica glass is suitable for optical scanning. See col. 5, lines 25-32.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use microtitre plates made of fused silica in the scanning process of Cassells, since fused silica has low fluorescence characteristic, which is more suitable for optical viewing.

Therefore, for the reasons set forth above, Applicant's claimed invention is deemed to be obvious, within the meaning of 35 USC 103 in view of the teachings of Cassells and Brumley, Jr. et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LaToya C. Younger whose telephone number is 571-272-1256. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 10:30 a.m. - 8:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lcy

MONIQUET. COLE PRIMARY EYAMINED